

**CERTIFICATE of EXPRESS MAILING**

I hereby certify that this paper and every paper referred to therein as being enclosed is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as express mail under Express Mail Label No. EV 627049658 US in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop PCT; Commissioner of Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date below

Date: August 2, 2005  
By: Jennifer Archer  
Jennifer Archer

Attorney Docket No. 103657-39 EAR

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

International Application No : PCT/US2003/036222

Applicant(s) for RO/US : Olaf LUCAS

International Filing Date : 12 November 2003

Serial No. : To Be Assigned

Filed : Herewith

For : LASER MARKING PROCESS

Art Unit : To Be Assigned

Examiner : To Be Assigned

---

August 2, 2005

Mail Stop PCT  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Arlington VA 22313-1450

**PETITION TO REVIVE UNINTENTIONALLY ABANDONED  
APPLICATION PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.137(B)**

08/09/2005 LLANDGRA 00000018 10544171

04 FC:1453 SIR \$1500.00 DA

Petitioner respectfully requests that the Honorable Commissioner exercise his power and restore the above-identified application to pending status, the application having been unintentionally abandoned.

According to 37 CFR § 1.137(b), which sets forth the requirements for restoring an unintentionally abandoned application to pending status:

“A grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph must be accompanied by:

- (1) The required reply, unless previously filed.\*\*\*;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m);
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional\*\*\*; and
- (4) A terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20d(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section.”

The Reply

With respect to the requirement (1), this application was unintentionally abandoned by failure to enter the U.S. national phase on time. Entry into the U.S. national phase was due thirty months from the priority date of November 14, 2002 or by April 14, 2005.

The above-quoted provisions of 37 CFR § 1.137(b) appear to be applicable to these circumstances. See, *Manual of Patent Examining Procedure*, § 1893.02 (“Applicant may file a petition to revive an abandoned application in accordance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1137.”)

Further, 37 CFR § 1.494(a) provides in pertinent part:

“Where the United States of America has not been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date \*\*\*, the applicant must fulfill the requirements of PCT Article 22 and 35 U.S.C. 371 within the time periods set forth in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section in order to prevent the abandonment of the international application as to the United States of America.”

37 CFR § 1.495 provides in pertinent part:

“To avoid abandonment of the application, the applicant shall furnish to the United States Patent and Trademark Office not later than the expiration of 30 months from the priority date:

- (1) A copy of the international application \*\*\*; and
- (2) The basic national fee \*\*\*.”

37 CFR § 1.495(c) provides in pertinent part:

“If applicant complies with paragraph (b) of this section before expiration of 30 months from the priority date but omits:

- (1) A translation of the international application, as filed, into the English language, if it was filed in another language \*\*\*; and/or
- (2) The oath or declaration of the inventor \*\*\*, applicant will be so notified and given a period of time within which to file the translation and/or oath or declaration in order to prevent abandonment of the application.”

Thus, it should be clear that the “reply,” i.e. the submissions needed to avoid the original abandonment in this case are only two, i.e.:

- 1) A copy of the international application as filed; and
- 2) The basic national fee

Attached is a copy of the international application as published under WO 2004/045857. The Commissioner is authorized by the accompanying transmittal form PTO 1390 to charge the basic national fee to Deposit Account No. 14-1263.

The Petition Fee

Regarding requirement (2), the Commissioner is also authorized to charge the fee set forth in § 1.17(m), and any other fees deemed necessary for consideration and/or grant of this petition, to Deposit Account No. 14-1263.

The Statement that the Delay was Unintentional

Regarding requirement (3), the undersigned hereby states that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional.

The Need for a Terminal Disclaimer

Regarding requirement (4), Petitioners submit that a terminal disclaimer is not required. By the terms of 37 CFR § 1.138(c), a terminal disclaimer must accompany a petition of this type, ([i]n a design application, a utility application filed before June 8, 1995, or a plant application filed before June 8, 1995.” Since the instant application does not fall into any of these categories, Petitioners submit that a terminal disclaimer is not required.


In view of the foregoing, Petitioners submit that all the requirements of a grantable petition have been met. Accordingly, Petitioners respectfully request that the Honorable Commissioner exercise his power and restore this application to pending status.

Early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted

NORRIS McLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A.

By

Kurt G. Briscoe

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 33,141

875 Third Avenue - 18<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10022

Phone: (212) 808-0700

Fax: (212) 808-0844